arXiv:1212.4948v1 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2012

THE PRIME DIVISORS IN EVERY CLASS CONTAIN ARBITRARY LARGE TRUNCATED CLASSES

CHUNLEI LIU

Abstract. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements, and $\hat{C}$ a projective curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We show that the prime divisors on $\hat{C}$ in every class contain arbitrary large truncated generalized classes of finite effective divisors.

1. Introduction

Green-Tao [GT1] proved that the primes contains arbitrary long arithmetic progression. Thai [Thai] proved a polynomial analog of the above result. In this paper we shall prove a more general geometric analog.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements, $\hat{C}$ a projective curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and K the function field of $\hat{C}$. We fix an element $t \in K$ which is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Definition 1.1. If P is a pole of t, then we call P a point at infinity, and write $P \mid \infty$.

Let C be the finite part of $\hat{C}$.

Definition 1.2. For each nonzero function $f \in K$, the divisor of f on C is defined to be

$$(f) := \sum_{P \in C} \mathrm{ord}_P(f) \cdot P.$$

Definition 1.3. For every divisor D on C, we write

$$L(D) := \{f \in K^\times \mid (f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Definition 1.4. Let M, D_1, D_2 be effective divisors on C. If there is a function $f \in K^\times$ such that $f - 1 \in L(D_1 - M)$ and $D_2 = (f) + D_1$, then D_2 is said to be equivalent to D_1 modulo M.

Definition 1.5. Let M and D be two divisors on C such that $D \geq M$. Let $a \in L(D)$ and $r > 0$. We call

$$\{f \in L(D) \mid f - a \in L(M), \mathrm{ord}_\infty(f - a) > -r\}$$

a truncated residue class of $L(D)$, where

$$\mathrm{ord}_\infty(f) = \min_{P \mid \infty}\{\mathrm{ord}_P(f)\}.$$

The truncated class is called principal if M is principal.

Note that the function $\mathrm{ord}_\infty(\cdot)$ extends to

$$K_\infty := \prod_{P|\infty} K_P,$$

where K_P is the completion of K at P, and K is embedded into K_∞ canonically.

Definition 1.6. Let D be a divisor on C, and let A be a truncated residue class of $L(D)$. We call

$$\{(f) + D \mid f \in A\}$$

a truncated generalized class of effective divisors on C.

In this paper we shall prove the following generalization of the result of Thai in [Thai].

Theorem 1.7. *The prime divisors on C in every equivalence class contain arbitrary large truncated equivalence classes of effective divisors.*

A positive density version of the above theorem can be proved similarly.

2. Pseudo-random measures on inverse systems

In this section we establish the relationship between two kinds of measures on inverse systems.

Let k be a fixed positive integer, D a fixed nonzero effective divisor on C, and I the set of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ which are prime to every nonzero function in $O_C \cap B_k$, where O_C is the ring of regular functions on C, and

$$B_k := \{f \in K_\infty \mid \mathrm{ord}_\infty(f) > -k\}.$$

Then $\{L(D)/(NL(D))\}_{N\in I}$ is an inverse system of finite groups. For each $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, we write $e_j = (O_C \cap B_k) \setminus \{j\}$. Then $(O_C \cap B_k, \{e_j\}_{j\in O_C\cap B_k})$ is a hyper-graph. To each edge e_j, we associate the system $\{(L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}\}_{N\in I}$. Thus the system $\{(L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}\}_{N\in I, j\in O_C\cap B_k}$ maybe regarded as an inverse system on the hyper-graph $(O_C \cap B_k, \{e_j\}_{j\in O_C\cap B_k})$. For each $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, and for each $N \in I$, let $\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}$ be a nonnegative function on $(L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}$.

Definition 2.1. The system $\{\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}\}_{N\in I, j\in O_C\cap B_k}$ is called a *pseudo-random* system of measures on the system $\{L(D)/(NL(D))\}_{N\in I, j\in O_C\cap B_k}$ if the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) For all $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, and for all $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j} \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\frac{1}{q^{|e_j|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(1)}\in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}} \prod_{\omega\in\Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)}) = O(1),$$

uniformly for all $x^{(0)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}$

(2) Given any choice $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j}$ for each $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, one has

$$\frac{1}{q^{2|O_C\cap B_k|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)},x^{(1)}\in (L(D)/NL(D))^{O_C\cap B_k}} \prod_{j\in O_C\cap B_k} \prod_{\omega\in\Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)}) = 1+o(1),'$$

as $\deg N \to \infty$.

(3) For all $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, for all $i \in e_j$, for all $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j}$, and for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q^{2(|e_j|-1)[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)},x^{(1)}\in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j\setminus\{i\}}} \operatorname{auto}(x,\tilde{\nu}_{N,j})^M = O(1),$$

where

$$\operatorname{auto}(x,\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}) := \frac{1}{q^{2[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x_i^{(0)},x_i^{(1)}\in L(D)/NL(D)} \prod_{\omega\in\Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)}).$$

For each positive integer $N \in I$, let $\tilde{\nu}_N$ be a nonnegative function on $L(D)/(NL(D))$.

Definition 2.2. The system $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is said to satisfy the k-cross-correlation condition if, given any positive integers $s \le |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C\cap B_k|}, m \le 2|O_C \cap B_k|$, and given any mutually independent linear forms $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_s$ in m variables whose coefficients are functions in $O_C \cap B_k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{\substack{x_i\in L(D)/(NL(D))\\ i=1,\cdots,m}} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_j(x)+b_j) = 1+o(1),\ N\to\infty$$

uniformly for all $b_1, \cdots, b_s \in L(D)/(NL(D))$.

Definition 2.3. The system $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is said to satisfy the k-auto-correlation condition if, given any positive integers $s \le |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C\cap B_k|}$, there exists a system $\{\tilde{\tau}_N\}$ of nonnegative functions on $\{L(D)/(NL(D))\}$ which obeys the moment condition

$$\frac{1}{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x\in L(D)/(NL(D))} \tilde{\tau}_N^M(x) = O_{M,s}(1),\ \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x\in L(D)/(NL(D))} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{\nu}_N(x+y_i) \le \sum_{1\le i<j\le s} \tilde{\tau}_N(y_i-y_j).$$

Definition 2.4. The system $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is called a k-pseudo-random system of measure on the inverse system $\{L(D)/(NL(D))\}$ if it satisfies the k-cross-correlation condition and the k-auto-correlation condition.

From now on we assume that

$$\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x) := \tilde{\nu}_N(\sum_{i\in e_j}(i-j)x_i).$$

Theorem 2.5. *If $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is k-pseudo-random, then $\{\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}\}$ is pseudo-random.*

Proof First, we show that, for all $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, and for all $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{|e_j|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)}) = O(1),$$

uniformly for all $x^{(0)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}$. For each $\omega \in \Omega_j$, set

$$\psi_\omega(x^{(1)}) = \sum_{i \in e_j, \omega_i = 1} (i-j) x_i^{(1)},$$

and

$$b_\omega = \sum_{i \in e_j, \omega_i = 0} (i-j) x_i^{(0)}).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{q^{|e_j|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{|e_j|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j}} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_\omega(x^{(1)}) + b_\omega) = O(1).$$

Secondly, we show that, given any choice $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j}$ for each $j \in O_C \cap B_k$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{2|O_C \cap B_k|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{O_C \cap B_k}} \prod_{j \in O_C \cap B_k} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)})$$

$$= 1 + o(1), \ \deg N \to \infty.$$

For each pair (j, ω) with $j \in O_C \cap B_k$ and $\omega \in \Omega_j$, set

$$\psi_{(j,\omega)}(x) = \sum_{\substack{i \in O_C \cap B_k, \delta = 0,1 \\ \omega_i = \delta}} (i-j) x_i^{(\delta)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{q^{2|O_C \cap B_k|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{O_C \cap B_k}} \prod_{j \in O_C \cap B_k} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{2|O_C \cap B_k|[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{O_C \cap B_k}} \prod_{j \in O_C \cap B_k} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_j} \tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_{j,\omega}(x))$$

$$= 1 + o(1).$$

Finally we show that, for all $j \in O_C \cap B_k$, for all $i \in e_j$, for all $\Omega_j \subseteq \{0,1\}^{e_j}$, and for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{2(|e_j|-1)[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j \setminus \{i\}}} \mathrm{auto}(x, \tilde{\nu}_{N,j})^M = O(1).$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz it suffices to show that, for $a = 0, 1$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{2(|e_j|-1)[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)}, x^{(1)} \in (L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j \setminus \{i\}}} \mathrm{auto}(x, \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}, a)^{2M} = O(1),$$

where

$$\mathrm{auto}(x,\tilde{\nu}_{N,j},a) := \frac{1}{q^{\deg N[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}} \sum_{x_i^{(a)}\in L(D)/NL(D)} \prod_{\omega\in\Omega_j,\omega_i=a} \tilde{\nu}_{N,j}(x^{(\omega)}).$$

For each $\omega \in \Omega_j$ with $\omega_i = a$, set

$$\psi_\omega(x) = \sum_{l\in e_j\backslash\{i\}} (l-j)x_l^{(\omega_l)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{q^{2(|e_j|-1)[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)},x^{(1)}\in(L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j\backslash\{i\}}} \mathrm{auto}(x,\tilde{\nu}_{N,j},a)^{2M}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{q^{2(|e_j|-1)[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x^{(0)},x^{(1)}\in(L(D)/NL(D))^{e_j\backslash\{i\}}} \sum_{\substack{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega_j\\ \omega_i=\omega'_i=a}} \tilde{\tau}^{2M}(\psi_\omega(x)-\psi_{\omega'}(x))$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega_j\\ \omega_i=\omega'_i=a}} \frac{1}{q^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]\deg N}} \sum_{x\in L(D)/(NL(D))} \tilde{\tau}^{2M}(x) = O(1).$$

■

3. Pseudo-random measures on $L(D)$

In this section we establish the relationship between measures on inverse systems and measures on $L(D)$.

Let A be a positive constant. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\nu_r \ll r^A$ be a nonnegative function on $L(D)$.

Definition 3.1. The system $\{\nu_r\}$ is said to satisfy the k-cross-correlation condition if, given any open compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[1/t]]$-module I in K_∞, given any positive integers $s \leq |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C\cap B_k|}$, $m \leq 2|O_C \cap B_k|$, and given any mutually independent linear forms $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_s$ in m variables whose coefficients are functions in $O_C \cap B_k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|L(D)\cap(t^r I)|^m} \sum_{\substack{x_i\in L(D)\cap(t^r I)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \nu_r(\psi_j(x)+b_j) = 1 + o(1),\ r\to\infty$$

uniformly for all functions $b_1, \cdots, b_s \in L(D)$.

Definition 3.2. The system $\{\nu_r\}$ is said to satisfy the k-auto-correlation condition if given any positive integers $s \leq |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C\cap B_k|}$, there exists a system $\{\tau_r\}$ of nonnegative function on $L(D)$ such that, given any open compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[1/t]]$-module I in K_∞,

$$\frac{1}{|(t^r I)\cap L(D)|} \sum_{x\in(t^r I)\cap L(D)} \tau_r^M(x) = O_M(1),\ r\to\infty,\ \forall M\in\mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|(t^r I)\cap L(D)|}\sum_{x\in(t^r I)\cap L(D)}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\nu_r(x+y_i)\le\sum_{1\le i<j\le s}\tau_r(y_i-y_j).$$

Definition 3.3. The system $\{\nu_r\}$ is k-pseudo-random if it satisfies the k-cross-correlation condition and the k-auto-correlation condition.

Let $\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_n$ be a $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$-basis of $L(D)$, and set

$$G=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{F}_q[[1/t]]\eta_i\subseteq K_\infty.$$

From on on we assume that, for each $N\in I$,

$$\tilde{\nu}_N(x+NL(D))=\nu_{\deg N}(x)\text{ if }x\in t^{\deg N}G.$$

We now prove the following.

Theorem 3.4. *If the system $\{\nu_r\}$ is k-pseudo-random, then the system $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}_{N\in I}$ is also k-pseudo-random.*

Proof First we show that, given any positive integers $s\le|O_C\cap B_k|2^{|O_C\cap B_k|}$, $m\le 2|O_C\cap B_k|$, and given any mutually independent linear forms $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_s$ in m variables whose coefficients are functions in $O_C\cap B_k$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}}\sum_{\substack{x_i\in L(D)/(NL(D))\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j=1}^{s}\tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_j(x)+b_j)=1+o(1),\ \deg N\to\infty$$

uniformly for all $b_1,\cdots,b_s\in L(D)/(NL(D))$. It suffices to show that for any $S'\subset\{1,\cdots,s\}$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}}\sum_{\substack{x_i\in t^{\deg N}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j\in S'}(\tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_j(x)+b_j)-1)=o(1),\ N\to\infty$$

uniformly for all $b_1,\cdots,b_s\in L(D)$. Let c be the maximal degree of the coefficients of the matrix of ψ with respect to $\{\eta_i\}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}}\sum_{\substack{x_i\in t^{\deg N}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j\in S'}(\tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_j(x)+b_j)-1)$$

$$=\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}}\sum_{\substack{y_i\in t^{c}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\sum_{\substack{x_i\in t^{\deg N-c}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j\in S'}(\tilde{\nu}_N(\psi_j(x)+t^{\deg N-c}\psi_j(y)+b_j)-1)$$

$$=\frac{1}{q^{m[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}}\sum_{\substack{y_i\in t^{c}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\sum_{\substack{x_i\in t^{\deg N-c}G\cap L(D)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j\in S'}(\nu_{\deg N}(\psi_j(x)+b_j')-1)=o(1),$$

where $b_j'\equiv t^{\deg N-c}\psi_j(y)+b_j(\mathrm{mod}NL(D))$ lies in $t^{\deg N}G$.

Secondly we show that, given any positive integers $s \leq |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C \cap B_k|}$,

$$\frac{1}{q^{\deg N[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}} \sum_{x \in L(D)/(NL(D))} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{\nu}_N(x+y_i) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \tilde{\tau}(y_i - y_j),$$

where

$$\tilde{\tau}_N(x + NL(D)) = \tau_{\deg N}(x) \text{ if } x \in t^{\deg N} G.$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q^{\deg N[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}} \sum_{x \in L(D)/(NL(D))} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{\nu}_N(x+y_i) \\ = & \frac{1}{q^{\deg N[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}} \sum_{x \in t^{\deg N} G} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \nu_{\deg N}(x+y_i') \\ \leq & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \tau_{\deg N}(y_i' - y_j') \\ = & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \tilde{\tau}_N(y_i - y_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $y_i' \equiv y_i (\mathrm{mod} NL(D))$ lies in $t^{\deg N} G$. The theorem is proved. ■

4. The geometric relative Szemerédi theorem

In this section we prove the geometric relative Szemerédi theorem.

For each $N \in I$, let $\tilde{A}_N$ be a subset of $L(D)/(NL(D))$.

Definition 4.1. The upper density of $\{\tilde{A}_N\}$ relative to $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is defined to be

$$\limsup_{I \ni N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{x \in \tilde{A}_N} \tilde{\nu}_N(x)}{\sum_{x \in L(D)/(NL(D))} \tilde{\nu}_N(x)}.$$

The following version of the geometric relative Szemerédi theorem follows from a theorem of Tao in [Tao].

Theorem 4.2. *If the system $\{\tilde{\nu}_{N,j}\}$ is pseudo-random, and $\{\tilde{A}_N\}$ has positive upper density relative to $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$, then there is a subset $\tilde{A}_N$ and a truncated principal residue class A of $L(D)$ of size $|O_C \cap B_k|$ such that*

$$A (\mathrm{mod} NL(D)) \subseteq \tilde{A}_N.$$

The above theorem, along with Theorem 2.5, implies the following.

Theorem 4.3. *If the system $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$ is k-pseudo-random, and $\{\tilde{A}_N\}$ has positive upper density relative to $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$, then there is a subset $\tilde{A}_N$ and a truncated residue class A of $L(D)$ of size $|O_C \cap B_k|$ such that*

$$A (\mathrm{mod} NL(D)) \subseteq \tilde{A}_N.$$

Definition 4.4. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_r be a subset of $L(D) \cap B_r$. The upper density of $\{A_r\}$ relative to $\{\nu_r\}$ is defined to be

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{x\in A_r} \nu_r(g)}{\sum_{x\in L(D)\cap B_r} \nu_r(x)}.$$

We now prove the following.

Theorem 4.5. *If $\{\nu_r\}$ is k-pseudo-random, c is a sufficiently large positive constant depending only on k, C and D, and $\{A_r \cap B_{r-c}\}$ has positive upper density relative to $\{\nu_r\}$, then there is a subset A_r that contains a truncated residue class of $L(D)$ of size $|O_C \cap B_k|$.*

Proof We have

$$\frac{\sum_{x\in A_{\deg N}\cap B_{\deg N-k}} \tilde{\nu}_N(x)}{\sum_{x\in L(D)/(NL(D))} \tilde{\nu}_N(x)} = \frac{1}{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x\in A_{\deg N}\cap B_{\deg N-c}} \tilde{\nu}_N(x) + o(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg N}} \sum_{x\in A_{\deg N}\cap B_{\deg N-c}} \nu_{\deg N}(x)+o(1) = \frac{\sum_{x\in A_{\deg N}\cap B_{\deg N-k}} \nu_{\deg N}(g)}{\sum_{x\in L(D)\cap B_{\deg N}} \nu_{\deg N}(x)}+o(1).$$

So $\{A_{\deg N} \cap B_{\deg N-c}(\mathrm{mod} NL(D))\}$ has positive upper density relative to $\{\tilde{\nu}_N\}$. By Theorem 4.3, there is a subset $A_{\deg N} \cap B_{\deg N-c}(\mathrm{mod} NL(D))$ and a truncated principal residue class A of $L(D)$ of size $|O_C \cap B_k|$ such that

$$A(\mathrm{mod} NL(D)) \subseteq A_{\deg N} \cap B_{\deg N-c}(\mathrm{mod} NL(D)).$$

Replace A by a translation if necessary, we conclude that

$$A \subseteq A_{\deg N} \cap B_{\deg N-c}.$$

The theorem follows. ∎

5. THE CROSS-CORRELATION OF THE TRUNCATED VON MANGOLT FUNCTION

In this section we shall establish the cross-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function.

The truncated von Mangolt function for the rational number field was introduced by Heath-Brown [HB]. The truncated von Mangolt function for the Gaussian number field was introduced by Tao [Tao]. The cross-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function for the rational number field were studied by Goldston-Yıldırım in [GY1, GY2, GY3], and by Green-Tao in [GT1, GT2]. The cross-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function for the rational function field were studied by [Thai].

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth bump function supported on $[-1, 1]$ which equals 1 at 0, and let $R > 1$ be a parameter. We now define the truncated von Mangoldt function for the function field K.

Definition 5.1. We define the truncated *von Mangoldt function* $\Lambda_{K,R}$ of K by the formula

$$\Lambda_{K,R}(D) := \sum_{M \leq D} \mu_K(M)\varphi(\frac{\deg M}{R}),\ \forall D \geq 0,$$

where μ_K is the *Möbius function* of K defined by the formula

$$\mu_K(D) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & D \text{ is a sum of } k \text{ distinct prime divisors,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\Lambda_{K,R}(D) = 1$ if D is a prime divisor of degree $\geq R$.

Let $\zeta_K(z)$ be the zeta function of K defined by the formula

$$\zeta_K(z) = \prod_P \frac{1}{1 - q^{-z \deg P}},\ \operatorname{Re} z > 1,$$

where P runs through the set of closed points on C. Write

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^t \varphi(t) e^{ixt}\ dt,$$

and

$$c_\varphi := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(1+iy)(1+iy')}{(2+iy+iy')} \hat{\varphi}(y)\hat{\varphi}(y')dydy'.$$

From now on, for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\nu_r(x) = \frac{\phi_K(W)R \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)}{c_\varphi q^{\deg W[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}} \Lambda^2_{K,R}((Wx+\alpha)L(D)^{-1}).$$

Here

$$R = \frac{r}{8|O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C \cap B_k|}},$$

W is the product of monic irreducible polynomials of degree $\leq w := \log\log r$,

$\phi_K(W) := |O_C/(W))^\times|$, and α a number prime to W.

We now prove the following.

Theorem 5.2. *The system $\{\nu_r\}$ satisfies the k-cross-correlation condition.*

Proof Given any open compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[1/t]]$-module I in K_∞, given any positive integers $s \leq |O_C \cap B_k| 2^{|O_C \cap B_k|}, m \leq 2|O_C \cap B_k|$, and given any mutually independent linear forms $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_s$ in m variables whose coefficients are functions in $O_C \cap B_k$, we show that

$$\frac{1}{|L(D) \cap (t^r I)|^m} \sum_{\substack{x_i \in L(D) \cap (t^r I) \\ i=1,\cdots,m}} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \nu_r(\psi_j(x) + b_j) = 1 + o(1),\ r \to \infty$$

uniformly for all functions $b_1, \cdots, b_s \in L(D)$.

We have

$$\frac{1}{|L(D)\cap(t^rI)|^m}\Big(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)}\Big)^s\sum_{\substack{x_i\in L(D)\cap(t^rI)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j=1}^{s}\nu_r(\psi_j(x)+b_j)$$

$$=\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_i\cap\mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s})\prod_{i=1}^{s}\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_i)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_i)\varphi(\frac{\deg\mathfrak{d}_i}{R})\varphi(\frac{\deg\mathfrak{d}'_i}{R}),$$

where $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}'$ run over s-tuples of effective divisors on C, and

$$\omega((\mathfrak{d}_i)_{1\le i\le s})=\frac{|\{x\in(L(D)/(L(D-\mathfrak{d})^m:\mathfrak{d}_i\le(W\psi_i(x)+b'_i)+D,\forall i=1,\cdots,s\}|}{(\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d})^m}$$

with $\mathfrak{d}=\mathrm{l.c.m.}(\mathfrak{d}_1,\cdots,\mathfrak{d}_s)$ and $b'_i=Wb_i+\alpha$.

Define

$$F(t,t')=\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\le j\le s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{\frac{1+it_j}{R}}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{\frac{1+it'_j}{R}}},\ t,t'\in\mathbb{R}^s,$$

where $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}'$ run over s-tuples of divisors on C.

It is easy to see that, for all $B>0$,

$$e^x\varphi(x)=\int_{-\sqrt{R}}^{\sqrt{R}}\hat{\varphi}(t)e^{-ixt}\ dt+O(R^{-B}).$$

It follows that for all $B>0$,

$$\frac{1}{|L(D)\cap(t^rI)|^m}\Big(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)}\Big)^s\sum_{\substack{x_i\in L(D)\cap(t^rI)\\ i=1,\cdots,m}}\prod_{j=1}^{s}\nu_r(\psi_j(x)+b_j)$$

$$=\int_{[-\sqrt{R},\sqrt{R}]^s}\int_{[-\sqrt{R},\sqrt{R}]^s}F(t,t')\hat{\varphi}(t)\hat{\varphi}(t')dtdt'$$

$$+O(R^{-B})\cdot\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\le j\le s})\prod_{i=1}^{s}\frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/R}}.$$

Hence we are reduced to prove the following.

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\le j\le s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/R}}\ll R^{O_s(1)},$$

and, for $t,t'\in[-\sqrt{R},\sqrt{R}]^s$,

$$F(t,t')=(1+o(1))\Big(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)}\Big)^s\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{(1+it_j)(1+it'_j)}{(2+it_j+it'_j)}.$$

We prove the equality first. Applying the Chinese remainder theorem, one can show that

$$\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j)_{1\le j\le s})=\prod_{\wp}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp)_{1\le j\le s}),$$

where $\wp$ runs over prime divisors on C. One can also show that

$$\omega(((\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp))_{1\le j\le s})=\begin{cases}1, & \prod_{j=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp)=0,\\ 0, & \prod_{j=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp)\neq 0,(W)\geq\wp.\end{cases}$$

And, if $\wp\nmid W$ and W is sufficiently large, then one can show that

$$\omega(((\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp))_{1\le j\le s})\begin{cases}=1/\mathrm{N}\wp, & \prod_{j=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp)=\wp\\ \leq 1/\mathrm{N}\wp^2, & \wp^2\mid\prod_{j=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_j,\wp).\end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$F(t,t')=\prod_{\wp}\sum_{\mathfrak{d}_j,\mathfrak{d}'_j\mid\wp,\forall j=1,\cdots,s}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\le j\le s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)}{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}_j^{\frac{1+it_j}{R}}\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}_j'^{\frac{1+it'_j}{R}}}$$

$$=\prod_{\wp\nmid W}(1+\sum_{j=1}^{s}-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it_j}{R}}-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it'_j}{\log R}}+\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R}}+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp^2}))$$

$$=\prod_{p\nmid W}(1+O_s(\frac{1}{q^{2\deg p}}))\prod_{j=1}^{s}\prod_{\wp\nmid W}\frac{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it_j}{R}})(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it'_j}{R}})}{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R}})}$$

$$=(1+O(\frac{1}{R}))\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{\zeta_K(1+\frac{2+it_s+it'_s}{R})}{\zeta_K(1+\frac{1+it_s}{R})\zeta_K(1+\frac{1+it'_j}{R})}\prod_{\wp\mid W}\frac{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R}})}{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it_j}{R}})(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it'_j}{R}})}.$$

From the estimate

$$\zeta_K(z)=\frac{\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)}{z-1}+O(1),\ z\to 1,$$

and the estimate

$$e^z=1+O(z),\ z\to 0,$$

we infer that

$$F(t,t')=(1+O(\frac{1}{R}))\cdot\prod_{\wp\mid W}(1+O(\frac{\deg\wp}{\deg\wp R^{1/2}}))\cdot$$

$$(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)})^s\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{(1+it_j)(1+it'_j)}{(2+it_j+it'_j)}.$$

Applying the estimate

$$\prod_{\wp\mid W}(1+\frac{\log\mathrm{N}\wp}{\mathrm{N}\wp})=O(e^{\log^2 w}),$$

we arrive at

$$F(t,t')=(1+o(1))(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R\cdot\mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)})^s\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{(1+it_j)(1+it'_j)}{(2+it_j+it'_j)}$$

as required.

We now turn to prove the estimate

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\le j\le s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/\log R}}\ll R^{O_s(1)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\leq j\leq s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/\log R}}\\
&=\prod_{\wp}\sum_{\mathfrak{d}_j,\mathfrak{d}'_j|\wp,\forall j=1,\cdots,s}\omega((\mathfrak{d}_j\cap\mathfrak{d}'_j)_{1\leq j\leq s})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}_j^{\frac{1}{R}}\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}'^{\frac{1}{R}}_j}\\
&=\prod_{\wp\nmid W}(1+\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1}{R}})^{O(1)}\\
&=\prod_{p}(1+q^{(-1-\frac{1}{R})\deg p})^{O(1)}=\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(1+\frac{1}{R})^{O(1)}\ll R^{O(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

6. The auto-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function

In this section we shall establish the auto-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function.

The auto-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function for the rational number field was studied by Goldston-Yıldırım in [GY1, GY2, GY3], and by Green-Tao in [GT1, GT2]. The auto-correlation of the truncated von Mangolt function for the rational function field were studied by [Thai].

We now prove the following.

Theorem 6.1. *The system $\{\nu_r\}$ satisfies the k-auto-correlation condition.*

The above theorem follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let I be any open compact $\mathbb{F}_q[[1/t]]$-module in K_∞. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|(t^rI)\cap L(D)|}\sum_{x\in(t^rI)\cap L(D)}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\nu_r(x+y_i)\ll\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq s}\prod_{\wp|(y_i-y_j)}(1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp}))$$

uniformly for all s-tuples $y\in L(D)^s$ with distinct coordinates.

Proof We may assume that

$$\Delta:=\prod_{i\neq j}(y_i-y_j)\neq 0.$$

Define

$$\omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i)_{1\leq i\leq s})=\frac{|\{x\in L(D)/L(D-\mathfrak{d}):\mathfrak{d}_i\leq(Wx+h_i)+D,\forall i=1,\cdots,s\}|}{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}},$$

where $h_i=Wb(y)+Wy_i+\alpha$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|(t^rI)\cap L(D)|}\sum_{x\in(t^rI)\cap L(D)}(\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R})^s\prod_{i=1}^{s}\nu_r(x+y_i)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i \cap \mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s}) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_i)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_i)\varphi(\frac{\deg \mathfrak{d}_i}{\log R})\varphi(\frac{\deg \mathfrak{d}'_i}{R}),$$

where $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}'$ run over s-tuples of divisors on C. Define

$$F_2(t,t') = \sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i \cap \mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s}) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{\frac{1+it_j}{R}}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{\frac{1+it'_j}{R}}},\ t,t' \in \mathbb{R}^s,$$

where $\mathfrak{d}$ and $\mathfrak{d}'$ run over s-tuples of ideals of O_C.

For all $B > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|(t^r I)\cap L(D)|} \sum_{x\in(t^r I)\cap L(D)} (\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R})^s \prod_{i=1}^{s} \nu_r(x+y_i)$$

$$= \int_{[-\sqrt{R},\sqrt{R}]^s} \int_{[-\sqrt{R},\sqrt{R}]^s} F_2(t,t')\psi(t)\psi(t')dtdt'$$

$$+O_B(R^{-B}) \cdot \sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i \cap \mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s}) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/R}}.$$

Hence we are reduced to prove the following.

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{d}'} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i \cap \mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s}) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{|\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)|}{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}_j)^{1/R}\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{d}'_j)^{1/R}} \ll R^{O_s(1)},$$

and, for $t,t' \in [-\sqrt{\log R},\sqrt{R}]^s$,

$$F_2(t,t') \ll (\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R})^s \prod_{\wp|\Delta,\wp\nmid W} (1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp})) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{(1+|t_j|)(1+|t'_j|)}{(2+|t_j|+|t'_j|)}.$$

We prove the second inequality but omit the proof of first one. Applying the Chinese remainder theorem, one can show that

$$\omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i)_{1\le i\le s}) = \prod_{\wp} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp)_{1\le i\le s}).$$

One can also show that

$$\omega_2(((\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp))_{1\le i\le s}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \prod_{i=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp) = (1), \\ 0, & \prod_{i=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp) \neq (1), \wp|W. \end{array} \right.$$

And, if $\wp \nmid W$ and w is sufficiently large, then one can show that

$$\omega_2(((\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp))_{1\le i\le s}) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} = 1/\mathrm{N}\wp, & \prod_{i=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp) = \wp \\ = 0, & \wp^2 \mid \prod_{i=1}^{s}(\mathfrak{d}_i,\wp), \wp\nmid\Delta, \\ \le 1/\mathrm{N}\wp, & \wp^2 \mid \prod_{s\in S}(\mathfrak{d}_s,\wp), \wp\mid\Delta. \end{array} \right.$$

It follows that

$$F_2(t,t') = \prod_{\wp} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}_i,\mathfrak{d}'_i|\wp,\forall i=1,\cdots,s} \omega_2((\mathfrak{d}_i \cap \mathfrak{d}'_i)_{1\le i\le s}) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}_j)\mu_K(\mathfrak{d}'_j)}{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}_s^{\frac{1+it_j}{R}}\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{d}'_j{}^{\frac{1+it'_j}{R}}}$$

$$= \prod_{\wp\nmid W\Delta} (1+\sum_{j=1}^{s} -\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it_j}{R}} -\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it'_j}{R}} +\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R}}) \prod_{\wp\nmid W,\wp|\Delta} (1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \prod_{\wp\mid\Delta,\wp\nmid W}(1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp}))\prod_{j=1}^{s}\prod_{\wp\nmid W\Delta}\frac{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it_j}{R}})(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{1+it'_j}{R}})}{(1-\mathrm{N}\wp^{-1-\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R}})}\\
&\ll (\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)})^s\prod_{\wp\mid\Delta,\wp\nmid W}(1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp}))\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{\zeta_K(1+\frac{2+it_j+it'_j}{R})}{\zeta_K(1+\frac{1+it_j}{\log R})\zeta_K(1+\frac{1+it'_j}{R})}\\
&\ll (\frac{q^{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]\deg W}}{\phi_K(W)R})^s\prod_{\wp\mid\Delta,\wp\nmid W}(1+O_s(\frac{1}{\mathrm{N}\wp}))\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{(1+|t_j|)(1+|t'_j|)}{(2+|t_j|+|t'_j|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

7. Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.7. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *There is a positive constant c_K such that every principal divisor on C is the divisor of a function ξ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) \geq \frac{-\deg(\xi)}{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]} - c_K,\ \forall P \mid \infty.$$

Proof Let $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_r$ be a system of fundamental units of O_K. For each $i = 1, \cdots, r$, set

$$y_i := (\mathrm{ord}_P(\varepsilon_i))_{P\mid\infty}.$$

It is known that the vectors $y_1, \cdots, y_r$ are linearly independent. Let ξ be a nonzero function in K. We have

$$\sum_{P\mid\infty}[K_P : \mathbb{F}_q((1/t))](\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) + \frac{\deg(\xi)}{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}) = 0.$$

It follows that the vector

$$(\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) + \frac{\deg(\xi)}{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]})_{P\mid\infty}$$

as well as the vectors $y_1, \cdots, y_r$ are orthogonal to the vector $([K_P : \mathbb{F}_q((1/t))])_{P\mid\infty}$. So

$$(\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) + \frac{\deg(\xi)}{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]})_{P\mid\infty} = a_1y_1 + \cdots + a_ry_r.$$

Multiplying ξ by a function in $O_K^\times$ if necessary, we may assume that $0 \leq a_i < 1$. It follows that, for every $P \mid \infty$,

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) \geq \frac{-\deg(\xi)}{[K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]} - c_K.$$

The lemma now follows. ■

For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $\alpha \in L(D)$ with $(\alpha, WL(D)) = L(D)$, set

$$A_{r,\alpha} = \{x \in L(D) \cap B_r \mid (Wx+\alpha) + D \text{ is prime}\}.$$

By Theorem 4.5, Theorem 1.7 follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. *Let c be a sufficiently large positive constant depending only on k, C and D. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a number $\alpha_r \in (t^{\deg W}G) \cap L(D)$ with $((\alpha_r) + D, W) = 1$ such that the system $\{A_{r,\alpha_r} \cap B_{r-c}\}$ has positive upper density relative to $\{\nu_r\}$.*

Proof By the above lemma, there is a positive constant c_K such that every principal divisor on C is the divisor of a function ξ satisfying

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(\xi) \geq \frac{-\deg(\xi)}{[K : \mathbb{F}_q(t)]} - c_K, \ \forall P \mid \infty.$$

It follows that, for any divisor $\mathfrak{n} \in [D]$, there is an element $x \in L(D)$ such that $\mathfrak{n} = (x) + D$ and that

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(x) \geq \frac{\deg D - \deg \mathfrak{n}}{[K : \mathbb{F}_q(t)]} - c_K, \ \forall P \mid \infty.$$

In particular, for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and for any prime divisor $\wp \in [D]$ satisfying $(\wp, W) = 1$ and $\deg \wp < \deg D + [K : \mathbb{F}_q(t)](r - c - c_K - \deg W)$, there is a function $x \in A_{r,\alpha} \cap B_{r-c}$, and a function $\alpha \in (t^{\deg W}G) \cap L(D)$ with $((\alpha) + D, W) = 1$ such that $\wp = (Wx + \alpha) + D$. So

$$\sum_{\substack{((\alpha)+D,W)=1 \\ \alpha \in (t^{\deg W}G) \cap L(D)}} \sum_{x \in A_{r,\alpha} \cap B_{r-k}} \Lambda^2_{K,R}((Wx + \alpha) + D)$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{\wp \in [D], (\wp, W)=1 \\ \deg \wp < \deg D + [K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)](r - c - c_K - \deg W)}} \Lambda^2_{K,R}(\wp) \gg \frac{c_\varphi q^{\deg W [K:\mathbb{F}_q(t)]}}{R \cdot \mathrm{Res}_{z=1}\zeta_K(z)} \cdot |L(D) \cap B_r|.$$

The theorem now follows by the pigeonhole principle. ■

References

[GY1] D. Goldston and C.Y. Yıldırım, *Higher correlations of divisor sums related to primes, I: Triple correlations,* Integers **3** (2003) A5, 66pp.

[GY2] D. Goldston and C.Y. Yıldırım, *Higher correlations of divisor sums related to primes, III: k-correlations,* preprint (available at AIM preprints)

[GY3] D. Goldston and C.Y. Yıldırım, *Small gaps between primes, I,* preprint.

[Gow] T. Gowers, *Hypergraph regularity and the multidimensional Szemerédi theorem*, preprint.

[GT1] B. Green, T. Tao, *The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions*, Ann. Math. 167 (2008), 481-547.

[GT2] B. Green, T. Tao, *Linear equations in primes*, Ann. Math. 171 (2010), 1753-1850.

[HB] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The ternary Goldbach problem*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), 45-59.

[Tao] T. Tao, *The Gaussian primes contain arbitrary shaped constellations*, J. d. Analyse Mathematique 99 (2006), 109-176.

[Thai] Thai Hoang Le, *Green-Tao theorem in function field*, Preprint.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240

E-mail address: `clliu@sjtu.edu.cn`